

Exhibit A

L465secC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x

3 SECURITIES and EXCHANGE
4 COMMISSION,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

20 Civ. 10832 (AT) (SN)
Remote Proceeding

7 RIPPLE LABS, INC., et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 -----x
10 New York, N.Y.
11 April 6, 2021
12 2:00 p.m.

13 Before:

14 HON. SARAH NETBURN,

15 U.S. Magistrate Judge

16 APPEARANCES

17 SECURITIES and EXCHANGE COMMISSION

18 Attorneys for Plaintiff SEC

19 BY: JORGE G. TENREIRO

20 DUGAN BLISS

21 DAPHNA A. WAXMAN

22 JON A. DANIELS

23 LADAN STEWART

24 CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON, LLP

25 Attorneys for Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse

BY: MATTHEW SOLOMON

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Christian A. Larsen

BY: MARTIN FLUMENBAUM

MICHAEL GERTZMAN

MEREDITH DEARBORN

L465secC

1 I am just looking at the actual requests themselves. I know we
2 have been talking about requests 4, 7, 8, 11, and 14. Search
3 all of the relevant repositories for documents and discovery
4 related to communications to third-parties. In addition, I am
5 ordering that discovery be conducted of all 19 custodians. I
6 don't think that the SEC's arguments, as set forth within their
7 letters and again today, are a legitimate basis given the
8 relevancy standard to preclude discovery here. 19 custodians
9 for an incredibly high-stakes, high-value litigation is not
10 unreasonable, and given the three different categories of
11 grounds not to produce documents, I don't think that that is a
12 legitimate basis so I am going to direct that the SEC search
13 all 19 custodians for relevant and responsive documents.

14 I am going to deny in part the request for discovery
15 that is internal, and specifically internal, for instance
16 e-mail communications between what I will call the SEC staff to
17 SEC staff. I think that that communication both is less
18 relevant as it goes to how the outside world -- how the market
19 is considering XRP and how the individual defendants, how it
20 affects their reasonable belief, and I also think that there
21 are likely to be extensive privilege issues there and I think
22 it has the potential to seriously chill government
23 deliberations and so I am not going to require communications
24 to be produced that are internal e-mail communications within
25 the agency. If you want the parties to meet and confer -- and